

# Goddard Problem

---

- Posed by R.H. Goddard, “A Method of Reaching Extreme Altitudes”, Smithsonian Inst. Misc. Coll. 71, 1919, reprinted by Am. Rocket Soc., 1946.

➤ Three state variables

$r$  : distance from Earth's center

$v$  : radial velocity

$m$  : rocket's mass

➤ One control variable  $\beta$  : mass  
flow-rate

➤ The dynamical model

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{r} &= v \\ \dot{v} &= \frac{\beta c}{m} - \frac{D(r, v)}{m} - \frac{\mu}{r^2} \\ \dot{m} &= -\beta\end{aligned}$$

with  $\beta \in [0, \beta_{\max}]$ .

➤ The initial conditions are:

$$r(0) = R_e \text{ Earth's radius}$$

$$v(0) = 0 \text{ start from rest}$$

$$m(0) = M_0 \text{ initial mass}$$

➤ The (only) specified  
end-condition is

$$\theta_1(\vec{x}(t_f)) = m(t_f) - M_f$$

where  $M_f < M_0$  is the mass  
with all fuel expended

➤ The cost functional is

$$g(r(t_f), v(t_f), m(t_f)) = -r(t_f),$$

that is, we maximize the final  
altitude.

# Nondimensional Form

---

➤ It's convenient to nondimensionalize. We select

$M$  :  $M_0$  unit mass

$L$  :  $R_e$  unit length

$T$  :  $\sqrt{R_e^3/\mu}$  unit time

Note that this leads to

$$\sqrt{\mu/R_e} : \text{unit speed}$$

$$M_0\mu/R_e^2 : \text{unit force}$$

► The scaled dynamical model

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\tilde{r}} &= \tilde{v} \\ \dot{\tilde{v}} &= \frac{\tilde{\beta} \tilde{c}}{\tilde{m}} - \frac{\tilde{D}(\tilde{r}, \tilde{v})}{\tilde{m}} - \frac{1}{\tilde{r}^2} \\ \dot{\tilde{m}} &= -\tilde{\beta}\end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{\beta} \in [0, \tilde{\beta}_{\max}]$ , where, for example,

$$\tilde{r} = r / R_e$$

- In the following we drop the  $\tilde{\phantom{x}}$  and note that all quantities have been non-dimensionalized.



## Applying the M.P.

---

➤ We form the variational

## Hamiltonian

$$H^a = \lambda_r v + \lambda_v \left[ \frac{\beta c}{m} - \frac{D(r, v)}{m} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right] - \lambda_m \beta$$

➤ The adjoint differential

equations are

$$\dot{\lambda}_r = \lambda_v \left[ \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial D}{\partial r} - \frac{2}{r^3} \right]$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_v = -\lambda_r + \frac{\lambda_v}{m} \frac{\partial D}{\partial v}$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_m = \frac{\lambda_v}{m^2} [\beta c - D(r, v)].$$

➤ The terminal transversality

**conditions imply**

$$***H(t_f) = 0***$$

$$***\lambda_r(t_f) = \lambda_0***$$

$$***\lambda_v(t_f) = 0***$$

$$***\lambda_m(t_f) = \nu_1***$$

**Note that *H* is constant along  
an extremal path.**

# Applying the M. P.

## $\min H$

---

- We are to minimize the variational Hamiltonian  $H$  subject to the bounds.
- Observe that  $H$  can be written

as

$$H = \left[ \frac{\lambda_v c}{m} - \lambda_m \right] \beta$$

+ terms independent of  $\beta$

Use the symbol  $S$  for the terms  
in square brackets

► For the mass flow-rate  $\beta$  we find

three possibilities

$$\beta^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S > 0 \\ \beta_{\max} & S < 0 \\ \text{singular} & S = 0 \end{cases}$$

The *singular* case arises only if  $S(\cdot)$  vanishes on an arc of finite width.

➤ Since  $m$  is positive we can multiply  $S$  by  $m$  without changing the conclusions. Hence we re-define

$$S = [\lambda_v c - \lambda_m m]$$



# Singular Control

---

- For  $S$  to stay constant at zero we require  $\dot{S} = 0$  and this leads to

$$\dot{S} = \dot{\lambda}_v c - \dot{\lambda}_m m - \lambda_m \dot{m}$$

- Substituting the appropriate

state/adjoint differential  
equations this simplifies to

$$\dot{S} = \frac{\lambda_v}{m} \left[ D + c \frac{\partial D}{\partial v} \right] - \lambda_r c$$

Note that the  $\beta$  terms have  
cancelled out.

- We could take a second  
time-derivative and insist that

$\ddot{S} = 0$ . Equivalently, observe  
that the three conditions

$$H = 0$$

$$S = 0$$

$$\dot{S} = 0$$

are three linear homogeneous  
equations in the adjoint

variables  $\lambda_r$ ,  $\lambda_v$ ,  $\lambda_m$ . Since the adjoints can not all vanish simultaneously, this implies that the determinant must be zero.

That is,

$$v \left[ D + c \frac{\partial D}{\partial v} \right] - c \left[ D + \frac{m}{r^2} \right] = 0$$

➤ Since this involves only state variables it's somewhat simpler than  $\dot{S}$ . Setting the time-derivative of this expression to zero will lead to an expression for the *singular* control  $\beta$ . It is still somewhat messy.

➤ In general, the control will appear first in an even time-derivative of the switching function. If the control appears first in the  $2q$ -th time-derivative of  $S$ , we say the singular arc is of order  $q$ . The Goddard problem has a first order singular arc.